

Stonestreet Green Solar Power Station - submission 2

1. I have attended in person or via "Teams" two of the recent hearings.
2. I was disappointed to hear that the applicant presented information as if the only consideration was that the project is an NSIP, and that the effect on the local landscape and community should be easily dismissed. This reflects my experience of the earlier "Consultation"
3. I do not wish to repeat the concerns I raised in my earlier submission but they still hold.
4. I wish to add that there is little evidence that there had been any serious consideration of alternative suitable sites, that would have been less damaging to the general landscape and village environment
5. While it is true, as stated by the developer, that a Solar Power Station is currently be best way of generating green energy from the identified site, that site is certainly not the best location for a Solar Power Station.
6. There is no national requirement that the site identified by EPL001 Ltd has to be used for solar power generation
7. A smaller project, that avoids the placement of panels on the high ground (that can not be screened), and the disruption PRowS could mitigate the devastating affect on the local environment and community.